

DCUSA Change Proposal (DCP)		At what stage is this document in the process?			
DCP 480 REP-900 cancellations charges Date Raised: 28 July 2026 Proposer Name: Peter Waymont Company Name: Eastern Power Networks plc Party Category: DNO		01 – Change Proposal			
		02 – Consultation			
		03 – Change Report			
		04 – Change Declaration			
Purpose of Change Proposal: To ensure consistency among senders of REP-900.					
	Impacted Parties: <i>(tick as appropriate)</i> ☒ Suppliers ☒ DNOs ☒ IDNOs ☐ CVA Registrants ☐ OTSO Party ☐ Gas Suppliers ☐ SIP Parties				
	Impacted Clauses: <i>[e.g. Section 1A – Clause 1.1] you can add more rows if necessary</i> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Section/Schedule</th> <th>Clause/Paragraph</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>DCUSA owned market message</td> <td>[Insert Text Here]</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		Section/Schedule	Clause/Paragraph	DCUSA owned market message
Section/Schedule	Clause/Paragraph				
DCUSA owned market message	[Insert Text Here]				

1 Summary

What?

- 1.1 To ensure consistency among senders of how REP-900 cancellations should be treated.

Why?

- 1.2 Most parties submit cancellations with values that have the opposite sign to the original invoices. However, some parties submit REP-900 cancellations using the same sign as the original invoices. This causes confusion and problems with processing the cancellations consistently.

How?

- 1.3 Amend REP-900/MM20064 to add a note to explain how cancellation values should be shown.

Who?

- 1.4 This change impacts suppliers and distributors.

2 Governance

Proposers view on items that impact the progression of the CP

- 2.1 ☐ Tick this box if you feel that you are raising this change to try to address a current or imminent issue that has significant adverse commercial or safety implications or which would otherwise place Parties in breach of relevant regulations.
- 2.2 Do you believe this Change Proposal will have a significant impact on any of the following? (Select all that apply)
- ☐ Electricity consumers
 - ☐ Supply
 - ☐ Distribution
 - ☐ Generation
 - ☐ Commercial activities connected with any of the above
 - ☒ None of the Above
- 2.3 Do you believe this Change Proposal will? *(Select all that apply)
- ☐ Have a significant commercial impact on any a Party or Party Category or on customers
 - ☐ Be likely to discriminate in its effects between at least one Party or another
 - ☐ Be the subject of controversy between Parties
 - ☐ Cause at least one Party to be in breach of this Agreement if not urgently addressed
 - ☐ Change the governance or the change control arrangements applying to the DCUSA
 - ☒ None of the Above
- 2.4 ☐ Tick this box if you either, haven't developed any proposed legal drafting or if you have, but you feel that further development will be required (i.e., via a Working Group)?
- 2.5 Proposer's view on prioritisation: Standard Priority

Prioritisation Criteria	High Impact
Strategic Direction Statement Alignment: <i>The CP strongly supports key government energy policy actions that require immediate progress and aligns with SDS priorities under the Act Now category.</i>	No
Complexity: <i>The CP is highly complex, with a fast-approaching deadline, significant implementation challenges, and a requirement for extensive stakeholder engagement.</i>	No
Importance: <i>The CP addresses a high-risk or critical issue, making timely action important for stakeholders as it is anticipated to deliver substantial benefits and value.</i>	Yes
Priority Category: <i>(To be considered High priority all 3 Criteria must be High Impact.)</i>	Standard

2.6 It is relatively important such that these matters can be standardised quickly.

Code Admin view on progression route for this CP

- 2.7 Based the answers provided by the Proposer to the above questions the Code Administrator believes that this Change Proposal should:
- Be treated as a Part 2 Matter;
 - Be treated as a Standard Change; and
 - Proceed to the Definition phase via a Working Group for further development.

3 Reasons for raising the Change Proposal

- 3.1 To ensure consistency among senders of REP-900 so that recipients can interpret and process them consistently.

4 Solution and Legal Text

Solution Overview

- 4.1 Add a note into the REP-900/MM20064 to state: ALL credits should be issued with a negative value even when the Site-Specific DUoS Invoice Type is “Can” for cancelling the previous invoice.
- 4.2 It's not clear at this stage if this needs to reference DI-585/DI20374. This is to be determined by the Working Group.

Legal Text Amendments

- 4.3 This is a market message amendment. As such, no legal text has been provided.

Legal Text Commentary

- 4.4 Not applicable.

Addition/Amendment to DCUSA Owned Market Message (Data Flow) or Data Item

- 4.5 Add a note into the REP-900/MM20064 to state: ALL credits should be issued with a negative value even when the Site-Specific DUoS Invoice Type is “Can” for cancelling the previous invoice” ^{*}(review the content of <https://digital-navigator.azurewebsites.net/search/market-messages> or <https://digital-navigator.azurewebsites.net/search/data-items> for guidance on what is required)

Market Message (Data Flow) / Data Item Commentary

- 4.6 It's not clear at this stage if this needs to reference DI-585/DI20374. This is to be determined by the Working Group.

5 Relevant Objectives

- 5.1 The Proposer's view as to which of the DCUSA Objectives would be better facilitated by the implementation of this Change Proposal is set out below:

DCUSA General Objectives ^{*}(expand to select all that apply)

- 5.2 The Proposer is of the view that DCUSA General Objective 4 is met by standardising a process for this purpose.

6 Impacts & Other Considerations

Impacts on any Significant Code Review (SCR) or other significant industry change projects

- 6.1 I do not believe that this CP impacts upon any current SCR or other significant industry change projects.

Impacts on Other Codes

- 6.2 I have considered whether any other industry codes might be impacted as a result of this Change Proposal, and my initial view is set out below:

Grid Code..... **R** SEC... **R** CUSC... **R** None..... ☒

Distribution Code... **R** REC.... **R** BSC..... **R**

Impacts on DCUSA Owned Data Flows *Refer to [Guidance Document](#) on website for further information*

- 6.3 I believe that this CP will require a change to the message as described previously.

Consumer Impacts

- 6.4 I do not believe that this change will impact consumers.

Environmental Impacts

- 6.5 In accordance with DCUSA Clause 10.4.5A, I have assessed whether there would be a material impact on greenhouse gas emissions if this CP were implemented. I have not identified any material impact on greenhouse gas emissions from the implementation of this CP.

7 Implementation

Lead Time for Implementation *Refer to [Guidance Document](#) on website for further information]*

7.1 This Change Proposal should be implemented as soon as reasonably possible.

Proposed Implementation Date

7.2 Next release after approval, subject to any system changes required.

8 Recommendations

The Code Administrator will provide a summary of any recommendations/determinations provided by the Panel in considering the initial Change Proposal. This will form part of a Final Change Report.